## Study Questions Stealing from God, Frank Turek

## **CHAPTER 3 – Information & Intentionality**

61.		our experience the	•	-	_		
		minds.			never do it."	,	
62.		Dr. Stephen Meye					
	a.		nly can explain the origin of the _				
			_ necessary to bu	ild the	life and <sub>_</sub>		
		forms of life.					
63.	In what	t way did Dr. Meye	r and Darwin use	the same investiga	ative process?		
	a.	Both took a				_ left behind and	
		then drawing an _		_ to the best explai	nation.		
64.	. What is one reason that Meyer came to a very different conclusion than Darwin's?  a						
65.	Why d	oes Meyer call DNA	A the "signature i	in the cell"?			
	a.		_				
66.	Why do	oes Turek say muta	tion and natural	selection cannot ex	xplain the origin	of the genetic	
	•	ation necessary to				o .	
			•		can han	pen only to	
	-					,	
67.	Turek s					to	
	Turek says, "The implication is clear: If a short message in English requires to compose, then so does a thousands of books long."						
68.		vas the one central					
٠٠.					•	····	
	u.						
60	Why di	d Darwin, although	admitting this d	ouht not "fret too	much" about it	 ?	
05.	a.	_	-		mach about it	•	
	u.						
70	How ha	as that worked out	 so far?				
70.							
	a.						
71	M/by ic	the "Cambrian exp	losion" a proble	m for avalutionists			
/1.	•	·	•			and relatively	
	a.	mey expected the					
		DIT MARK CO		f			
			_	f animal life (the ph			
		WITHOUT	In 2 1/0	ALCHART NATION AT A	IDOLOGIC TIME		

## Study Questions Stealing from God, Frank Turek

12.		e forms over time?	genetic mutations could produce				
	a.		. Before understanding of the				
		"big bang", the universe was believed to be					
	b.	Most are No real					
		resulting in more complex life forms.					
	c.	Any corruption of a computer code renders the entire p	rogram				
	d.	"Even if there were infinite time and opportunities for nature to mutate DNA into the necessary for new life, that still wouldn't be enough to create a new					
		life-form. That's because alone doesn	n't dictate the formation of				
73.	Do you	understand "epigenetic information"? (Does the house-	building analogy help?)				
	•						
74.	What is	Br. Meyer's central question in his book, <u>Darwin's Doub</u>	 t?				
		"What is the explanation for the orig					
		and information necessary for new _					
		?"					
	'Logic v	s Turek's point when he says, " bad preachers write in the veak here—pound pulpit!"?					
76	Why is	Dr. Meyer not guilty of the "God of the gaps" fallacy?					
		a. Because, " he's not interpreting the evidence based on what we					
		, but what we do know we don't ju					
		materialistic explanation for the origin of					
		have a positive, empirically evidence					
		Our uniform and repeated experience tells us that only	intelligence is capable of				
		information. So w	hen we find such a				
		message in the DNA, we've found po	sitivefor				
77.	How do	 bes Richard Dawkins know, given his admission that "ther	e are still gaps", that science will				
		nose gaps?					
	a.						
78.	What's	the point of saying, "Nature will take a building and turn	it into a pile of bricks, but				
		will never take a pile of bricks and turn it into a building.					
		" nature universally brings nonliving things to					

## Study Questions Stealing from God, Frank Turek

79.	"When [archaeologists and detectives]	, it's not a "gap" in their					
	knowledge about natural forces that le	was					
	It's the	knowledge that	inscriptions require				
	"						
80.	"Just because something is unseen doesn't mean it's not real. There are many unseen realities						
	that scientists us every day, such as '	u					
	a						
	b						
	C						
	d						
81.	Turek says, " we don't know from the," What can we info						
82.	What do we need to consider, in	, to biology	, in order to make the case that				
	the designer must be a theistic God?						
	a						
83.	(Questions will continue with the topic	, "Bad Design?".)					