XXVII.	How do we know we can trust the Bible's authors?	
321.	"Given that we can't expect to put Jesus in a	
	, we have to rely on the word of those who His lif	e and
	resurrection."	
322.	What does the author mean when she says we can't "put Jesus in a test tube,"?	
	a	
323.	What are the four key questions detectives us to evaluate any eyewitness?	
	a	
	b	
	c	
	d	
324.	Why is this statement true? "All four Gospels are technically anonymous, just like many other ancient works."  a	nei
325.	Why can we be confident in the authorship?	
	a	
326.	Early dating of the Gospels is supported by a number of key points:	
	a	
	b	
	c	
327.	"Based on [the above] we have good reason to believe the Gospels are based on the accou	unts
	of people who were actually in Jesus' life and at His	."

328.	"Much has been made of the differences between the Gospels but corroboration doesn't					
	mean_	m	ust provide	details	'True, reliable	
	eyewit	ness accounts are neve	r completely	and		' "
329.	"This '_		support' is exac	tly what we see in t	he Gospels two	o or
	more G	ospel writers describe	the same event and _		support	each
	other's	accounts with	detail.			
330.	(Were the Gospel authors biased?) "There's a critical difference between bias					
		to an exp	perience and conviction	on	an experienc	e,"
331.	Extra c	redit: Does the eviden	ce for the reliability of	the Gospels prove t	that we can trust	the
	author	s? Why or why not?				
	a.					
XXVIII.	How do	we know the Bible w	e have today says wh	at the authors origi	nally wrote?	
332.	Christia	ns assume that today's	s Bible says what the _		authors wrote.	Bart
	Ehrman and many others have severely and aggressively criticized that assumption, primarily					
	because of what single truth?					
	a.					
333.	True of false – There are hundreds of thousands of differences when comparing the 20,000 plus					
	manus	cripts with one another	r			
334.	This chapter will "answer four key questions" to help us understand why the popular criticisms					
	are not reasonable. Those questions are:					
	a.					
	b.					
	C.					
	d.					
335.	Briefly	answer each of the 4 q	uestions above:			
	a.	What is textual critici	ism?			
	b.	How many textual va	riants are there in the	? N.T.?		

	c. What is the nature of the variants?	
	<i>i.</i>	
	ii	
	iii	
	iv	
336.	What theological issues are at stake? Even with in John's account of	of
	the woman caught in adultery and the apparent addition to Mark 16, there is simply no	
	on theological If those passages were no	t
	truly in the, it makes no difference.	
XXIX.	. Does the Bible have errors and contradictions?	
337.	What are the two types of alleged Biblical "Errors"?	
	a	
	b	
338.	Since there truly are some errors in the Bible, how can we believe in the doctrine of inerrancy	?
	a	
339.	What are the three keys to evaluating alleged contradictions?	
	a	
	b	
	c	
340.	Natasha offers three additional important considerations when addressing alleged errors:	
	a	
	b	
	с.	
341.	"When you do take the time toevaluate the passages in question	
	[alleged contradictions], there are good reasons to believe they're	,
xxx.	Does the Bible support slavery?	
342.	[Some] " frequently missed parts of the Bible containshocking	
	verses that can greatly challenge a person's faith passages [that] raise questions about God	's
	stance on,, and human	."

343.	"We need to proactively address these passages with our kids before they encounter the						
		, o	ut-of-context	ske	ptics enthusiastically		
	promote."	,					
344.	" there's	s no passage in the	Bible that explicitly		slave-holding. God		
	undeniabl	У	various forms	of slavery to take p	lace amongst the		
	Israelites.	But to stop the	re is like saying you kno	w everything you n	eed to know about WWI		
	because y	ou know the U.S. '		it."			
345.	Southern	slave owners were	known to argue that th	ne Bible's descriptiv	e accounts of slavery		
	justified tl	heir version of slav	ery in the confederate	states. Is this a logi	cal argument? Why?		
	a						
346.	" it's no	t	to say, 'The	Bible' laws on slave	ry were a lot		
	than the laws of surrounding cultures. This fails to answer the simple						
	question:	is	another huma	an ever	, or?"		
347.	"We can't talk about biblical 'slavery' without talking about specificof						
	'slaves.'	. Most of the 'slave	s' in Israel were		_servants Most O.T.		
	slavery lav	ws pertain to	sei	vitude."			
348.	Considering all the rules governing this form of 'slavery', " an indentured servant was more						
	like a	worker th	nan anything resemblin	g the common notic	on of"		
349.	Extra credit: Regarding Exodus 21:7-11, why does this passage seem so damaging when first						
	read?						
	a						
	b						
	c						
	d						

350.	The third type of slave	ery described in the Bib	le (Lev 25:44-46) is tha	it of		
	slaves. Why is this the most troubling of the three types?					
	a					
351.	Extra credit: So, how	should we respond wh	en someone says, "The	e Bible supports slavery."?	)	
	a					
	b					
	c					
XXXI.	Does the Bible support	•				
352.	"While it's absolutely	untrue that God	of	rape I would agree that	:	
	many Christians have		around '	stuff' in th	ıe	
	Bible. There are a lot o	of	passages in the O	T. that never make their	way	
	into a	school lessor	n or	topic,"		
353.	[Having looked at the	relevant passages] we	can state the	line on ra	ape	
	laws. If a man raped a married or engaged woman, he got the death penalty. The woman was					
		If a man raped or	had consensual sex wi	th an unmarried/unengag	ged	
	woman, he was requi	red to	her because	he had substantially		
	decreased her	for r	marriage. Ultimately, l	nowever, the girl's		
		could	the marri	age if it wasn't in her best	t	
	interest."					
354.	"To say these passages [Deut 20:10-14, Deut 21:10-14] demonstrate God's approval of rape					
	grossly misconstrues the text. When you consider the biblical and					
		context, it become	s clear that these laws	were in place to help		
		women who were		•	of	
	war.		-			

355.	Extra credit: Considering the critics' attempts to convince us that the Bible supports slavery,					
	rape and other immoral acts, how does Proverbs 18:17 come to our rescue?					
	a					
xxxII.	Does the Bible support human sacrifice?					
355.	"The nations thatIsrael practiced					
	as part of their worship of false gods, and much is said in the Bible about					
	that practice. Most importantly, God explicitly, repeatedly, and clearly					
	such activity."					
356.	Abraham was commanded to sacrifice Isaac. What are the "three key things we should take					
	away from this admittedly difficult story."?					
	a					
	b					
	c					
357.	Extra credit: Why did God command Abraham to kill Isaac. (WHY did God have to test					
	Abraham's faith in such a radical way?)					
	a					
358.	Much of the Bible is purely; it records events that happened					
	, but not necessarily because Godof them.					
	That's why it's suchscholarship when skeptics simply pluck a out					
	of the Bible and suggest that God mustwhatever the text describes.					
359.	"We are told King Ahaz of Judah 'his son as an					
	(2 Kings 16:3) Second Kings 21:6 says King Manasseh of Judahhis					
	as well but the fact that the Bible what happened says					
	about God of it "					

J	Bible condones human sacrifice. What are the two ways Christians have interpreted this				
E					
þ	assage?				
	a				
	b				
F	egardless of what really happened, "the text nev	verthat God			
_	of the vow. The passage	simplywhat			
ł	appened there's no	to believe that this case was an exception."			
T	The Exodus 13:2 call to "Consecrate to me all firstborn" and the Numbers 18:16 call to "redeem				
f	rstborn humans" are also criticized, but "it's	God wasn't requesting			
_					
J	oshua 7:15 tells us how one man was stoned to c	leath for disobedience. "This was a judicial			
	, not a human	." (Greater love hath no man)			
J	esus' death on the cross cannot be classified as h	uman sacrifice because, "God, Jesus, and the			
H	Holy Spirit are three persons in one. God didn't sacrifice a 'third party'				
	; He sacrificed	."			
7	here's no question the Bible explicitly	human			
_	. When skeptics point to verses that supposedly contradict that				
c	ondemnation, they're either looking at passages	that are onlyof			
ł	uman sacrifice or are	passages that don't speak to human			
c	acrifice at all.				