Chapter 8: THE EVIDENTIAL FAITH PATH: "Truth Is What Logic and Evidence Point To"

82.	True or false: Zen 'koans' make total sense, and I totally understand Zen Buddhism.
83.	What question did Ravi Z ask that settled argument with the "Eastern logic" professor?
	a
84.	"Logic really is inescapable. Both sides of a contradiction cannot be true— on \textbf{either} side of the
	ocean. The intellectual conundrums of the Zen teacher or Eastern guru may confound some
	folks with their mix of cleverness and nonsense, but they certainly do not
	logic. In reality, these riddles were logically constructed to appear as if
	they defeat rational thinking. Why? The claim, as we saw above, is that this is to help
	people of logic and move toward some kind of esoteric experience
	called"
85.	Eastern "logic" tells us to forget about any definitions of anything? Why do the very definitions
	of words depend on the logical mind understanding the meaning of those words?
	a
86.	William Lane Craig: "Logic and facts are the keys to showing soundly that a
	is true. Since a proposition that is logically is
	necessarily false and so cannot be the of a sound argument, and since a
	proposition validly inferred from factually true ought to be regarded as
	factually true, one may generalize these notions to say that a ought to
	be regarded as true only if it is and fits all the
	facts known in our experience."
87.	What argument did Rene Descartes and the "Continental rationalists" use to conclude that the
	only real knowledge is limited to the logical and mathematical?
	a

88.	David Hume and the "empiricists", however, argued that, "the mir	ıd					
	neither knows nor proves anything without engaging in the real world that						
	investigation wins over rational"						
89.	After about a century, Immanuel Kant posited that we need both, that "Real knowledge comes						
	when the,power of the mind is applied to	the					
	real-world gained through the These two						
	elements are fundamental, undeniable realities."						
90.	Extra credit: Was Kant's argument logical? If so, and considering that Kant would go on to						
	pronounce that God is dead, was that pronouncement logical?						
	a						
	b						
	c						
	d						
91.	So, that leaves us with Kant's argument that we must consider both the						
	power of the mind and the importance of experience, i.e. tangible						
	evidence. (You won't find this quoted verbatim in the book.)						
92.	(This one might cause a mild headache.) Why does Mittelberg say that "Logic is therefore much						
	more readily used to show things to be untrue"?						
	a						
93.	Illogic to the extreme: "The Baha'i faith earns the dubious honor of being most rationally						
	of all the religions Having logical contradictions at the heart of	a					
	religion's teachings is not just a problem—it is	"					
94.	Although logic (mind power, reasoning) is essential to evidential thinking, tangible						
	evidence is also required.						
95.	The "sensory experience aspect wields the weapons usually described as facts or eviden	ce—					
	[and] can be used to a faith claim and show it to be	_					
	(where it touches on facts related to the tangible world)						

96.	How did DNA testing support or refute the claims of the Book of Mormon (i.e. the claim that						
	North American Indians were of Middle Eastern descent)?						
	a						
97.	But, why does the public's trust of scientific 'truth' probably go beyond what is warranted?						
	a						
98.	We have to rely heavily on historic evidence, but the value of such evidence is often questioned						
	and devalued. Mittelberg says, "What we need is not the of historical						
	knowledge but extra and care in investigating the facts that undergird						
	any historical record."						
99.	Why do we need to be so careful in deciding what history we accept as reliable?						
	a						
100	D. Along with history, archaeology is also vital to the evidential faith path. How does the						
	history of findings regarding the Hittites support (or not support) the idea that THE ABSENC						
	OF EVIDENCE IS NOT EVIDENCE OF ABSENCE?						
	a						
101	1. Now, for the major point of this chapter: "So just as we rely on the Evidential approach in						
	ordinary, everyday life, it can also be extremely valuable in the realm of religious						
	understanding. For a relatively group of people, this is the primary path						
	they've used in figuring out what to believe. For the rest of us, this approach provides us						
	with the keys we need to the spiritual conclusions we've arrived at through						
	one or more of the other						

evidential rea	isons?					
a						
•	idential faith patl	·				
l						
To understand one of the greatest obstacles to objective investigation of evidence,						
Mittelberg points out that, "somewhere along the way, some leading thinkers in our society						
have moved	away from the ge	neral use and app	olication of		_ knowledge	
and toward a	n	that determ	ines in advance	e what kinds of	conclusions	
will be deem	ed acceptable wit	hin the	com	nmunity. In effe	ct, these	
people hijack	ed	— which hi	storically had I	been dominated	d by people of	
faith— and transformed it into something else, often referred to as,						
"the belief that the method is the only method for						
truth."						
What is the doctrine behind scientism, and its narrower expression, logical positivism?						
a. Only natu	uralistic factors (a	s opposed to		_ ones) will be	considered as	
possible	causes or explana	tions,	of the	e strength of the	e	
presented In effect, it is an attempt to decide by						
that science will be, from this point forward, atheistic. By definition						
God and	all things	are r	uled out, in		_, from even	
being						
t's no wonde	er that <i>Harper's</i> m	agazine titled its	review of Daw	kins's latest boo	ok as	
··	Scientism	: The	of Ric	hard Dawkins."		
Mittelberg questions whether scientism is scientific. "Isn't it the pinnacle of closed-						
mindedness t	o say, "Well, ever	າ if	there co	ould be a real		
	in the univ	erse that I don't	understand an	d can't see, I'm	resolutely	
	to conside	r the	of His	being involved	in the world"?	
مريده ماناه	ing your eyes and	then complainin	g that you can	't seel		

108.	Varghese, the one-time champion of "logical positivism" who later announced its logical					
	failure as a philosophy, of	fered the following	assessment of the '	'new atheists": "How do		
	these works and authors	fit into the larger ph	nilosophical discussi	on of God of the last severa		
	decades? The answer is t	hey	. they	to engage the real		
	issues involved in the que	stion of God's	th	ey show no awareness of		
	the a	nd	that led to the r	ise and fall of logical		
	positivism."					
109.	"The good news is that w	e don't have to go a	long with them. Ins	tead, we can support the		
	growing number of philos	ophers, scientists, a	and educators who	remain open to all of the		
	possible	to the biggest a	nd most profound _	of our		
	day, including the	of a di	vine Creator."			
110.	One of the most influenti	al atheists of the 20	th century, Antony	Flew, said, "My life has		
	been guided by the princi	ple of Plato's Socra	tes:	the evidence, whereve		
	it	fter a lifetime of pu	rsuing the evidence	e, however, he embraced		
	the view that there is a G	od—an	designer—	behind the		
	of the	e universe.				
111.	"Apparently neither Einst	ein nor Flew, two o	f the brightest mind	s of the past century, had		
	any sense that good scier	ce or the Evidential	approach was limit	ed to the		
	of the	e	And neither shou	ıld we. Rather, we should		
	embrace and apply the po	owerful tools of	and	d		
	employing them in our efforts to find or confirm a faith that squares with the facts.					
112.	In conclusion, there are to	wo elements or com	ponents of the evic	dential faith path: Logic		
	(reasoning) and facts (tangible evidence). Do you agree that we are commanded to employ					
	both in choosing our world view? Why or why not?					
	a					